

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Re : Prohibiting to Screen the film ' Karavali' ' South Canara District.

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA (Sagar).—Madam Speaker. I call the attention of the Minister of State for Information and Power to prohibiting the screening of the film "Karavali" in South Kanara District.

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY (Minister of State for Information and Power) I state as follows.—

The Central Board of Film Censors, Government of India intimated the State Government (i) that they have received from various individuals living in Karnataka State protesting against the production of the Kannada Film based on the novel, "Karavali"; (ii) that the complainants apprehend the susceptibilities of the section of the people of the State (iii) and requested advice of State Government in the matter.

2. The State Government suggested to the Central Board of Film Censors that the showing of the film may be restricted to places other than South Kanara District, in view of the fact that the picture is basically one depicting the life of fisherman of South Kanara District; that in the story itself there is one incident according to which a fisherman's daughter marries a person of another religion. This suggestion to the Central Board of Film Censor was made (i) as the fisherman community in South Kanara District appears to have had worked up to a high pitch over the novel and the film.

3. The Central Board of Film Censor, Government of India, intimated that the film was examined by a Revising Committee in Bombay and it has been decided to grant a "U" Certificate to the film subject to deletion of portion considered objectionable. A certificate issued by the Central Board of Film Censors to a film for public exhibition extends to the whole of India and the Board is not competent to order the applicant or anybody to restrict exhibition of film to a particular area or areas if any, and therefore requested to issue suitable instructions to the District authorities under the powers conferred on State Government.

4. Section 15 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act 1964 empowers State Government in respect of the whole of the State or to a part thereof, and the District Magistrate in respect of the District, to suspend the exhibition of a film which is likely to cause breach of peace.

5. The above film is based on the novel, 'Karavali' which was published as a serial in Kannada daily "Kannala Prabha" a few years back. There were considerable protests at that time and the paper stopped to publish the serial. The film has now been prepared making some slight alterations in the ending of the story. The picture does not appear to deride the customs, manners of the ways of life of the fishermen community. However, in view of the fact that the Central Board of Film Censors and Government have received several protests against the exhibition of the above film, especially in South Kanara District and as the Censor Board had decided to grant 'U' Certificate to the above film after deleting portions considered objectionable, the State Government considered it necessary not to screen the picture in South Kanara District.

6. As the picture depicts the customs, manners and way of life of the fisherman community of the South Kanara District, Government felt that the screening of this picture in South Kanara District may give rise to bitter feelings in the fishermen community and may give rise to law and order problem. Therefore, the Government issued instructions to the District Magistrate, South Kanara District, the Superintendent of Police, the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Southern Range, to suspend the exhibition of this film in the South Kanara District, under Section 15 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1964.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ (ನಾಗರ).—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತುರ್ತು ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯ ಛಾಯೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ.—ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರನ್ನಾದರೂ ಒಬ್ಬರನ್ನೂ ವಿನಾಸಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕುವವರೆಗೆ ಅದು ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿರು ವಕೀಲರು, ಅವರು ಸಿಂವಾ ರೆಗ್ಯೂಲೇಷನ್ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 15ನ್ನು ಎಪ್ಪರಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಗಮನಿಸಬೇಕು. ಕಾರಣ ಏನೆಂದರೆ, ಮೂಲಭೂತ ವಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಜಾತಿಯ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಇದ್ದೇ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಫಿಷರ್ಮನ್ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ವಿನಾ ಹಿಡಿಯುವವರು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜಾತಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿರುವರೂ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮಗೆ ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಊಹೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಮೂರ್ಖತನ 'ಸಂಸ್ಕಾರ' ಚಿತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮ ಇದನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ಮಾಡಕೂಡದು ಎಂದು ವಿರೋಧ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಆದರೂ ಕೆಳಕಿಲ್ಲ, ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ಮಾಡಿದರು 'ಚೋಮನದುಡಿ' ಇದು ಹರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ

ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು. ಇದನ್ನು ಏಕೆ ನೀವು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಅಡ್ಡಿ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ? ಹರಿಜನರು ಯಾರು ಕೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇ? ಅದರಿಂದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದಲ್ಲ ಒಂದು ಜಾತಿಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಆಧಾರವಾಗಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಈ ಚಿತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ರಾ. ಮತ್ತು. ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದುದಲ್ಲ.

ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 15ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಅಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದನ್ನು ಒದುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 15ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಚಿತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡದು ಎಂದು ಅಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಇದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಅನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಒಂದು ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕು ಈ ಚಿತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ರೀಸನಬಲ್ ಅಪರ್ಚುನಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ಮತ್ತು ಅವರನ್ನು ಹಿರಿಯರ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಇಷ್ಟು ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಬಹುದು. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲವರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಈ ಅಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಬಹುದು. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 15 ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

I would like to read Clause (2) of Section 15 which says as follows:—

“(2) No order shall be issued under sub-section (1) until the person concerned has been given a reasonable opportunity of showing cause against the order proposed to be issued in regard to him; unless owing to emergency or for some other reason, to be recorded in writing, the State Government or the licensing authority is satisfied that it is not reasonably practicable to give that person an opportunity of showing cause:

Provided that a copy of the reasons recorded by the State Government or the licensing authority for issuing the order shall be communicated to the person concerned as soon as it becomes reasonably practicable to communicate the reason to him”

and Clause (5) says :

“An order issued under sub-section (1) shall remain in force for a period of two weeks from the date thereof but the State Government may, if it is of opinion that the order should continue in force, direct that the period of suspension shall be extended by such further period or periods not exceeding two months in the aggregate, as it thinks fit:

Provided that the State Government or the licensing authority may, at any time review its own order.”

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಮಾನ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 15ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ಏನು ಎಂದರೆ ಇವರ ಮಂತ್ರಿಮಂಡಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರೋ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಗುಂಡೂರಾಯರು ಈ ಖಾತೆಯನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋದರು, ಈಗ ಇವರು ಬಂದವೇರಿ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಇದು ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ, ಇವರು ಕಾನೂನು ಅನುಸರಿಸದೇ ತಡೆ ಹಿಡಿದಿರುವುದು ಕಾನೂನು ಬಾಹಿರ ಕೆಲಸವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಅವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಪಾಪನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

2-00 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೋಣಂದೂರು ಲಂಕಪ್ಪ.—ಫಿಸರ್‌ಮೆನ್ ಇರುವುದು ಸೌತ್ ಕೆನರಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರವೇ ಅಲ್ಲ, ಕಾರವಾರದಲ್ಲೂ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪನವರು ಜಾತಿ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾತಿ ಕಟ್ಟುಕಟ್ಟುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚಿತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ತಪ್ಪಲ್ಲ ಅದು ಸರಿ ಎಂದು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಏನು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಸರಿಯೋ ತಪ್ಪೋ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಅಲ್ಲ, ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಒಂದು ಕೋಮಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದಂತಹ ಜನರು, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಒಗ್ಗಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಕೂಡಿ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ವಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿ ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ರಾ ಅಂಡ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಬರಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಮಾಡಿದೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು, ಬೇರೆ ಯಾವ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದಲೂ ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ನಿದರ್ಶನ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದರೆ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲು ನಾನು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟುಕಾಗಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪ್ರಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀರಿಯರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು, ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಅದೇ ಜನರು ವಿರೋಧ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪ್ರಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀರಿಯರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದರು. ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಈ ಹೆಜ್ಜೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಯೇ ಹೊರತು ಬೇರೆ ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯನವರು ಕಾನೂನು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA.—You have taken shelter under Sec. 15 of the Cinema Regulation Act 1964. Section 15 contemplates that the parties should be given proper opportunity before taking such an action. Unless that is exercised you can't issue an order.

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY.—I understand it; I will get it examined.

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA.—But their directions are not in accordance with the rules contemplated in Sec. 15 of the Act.

SRI B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY.—With regard to point number one I will get it examined. But about the second point I would like to say that the party is not barred even from going to the Court of law. As far as the Government is concerned, we are going to examine it.

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA.—My contention is that they have not acted as per the directions contemplated under Section. 15 of the Act. They have violated the provisions of the law. For that they

should be penalised. I want to know at whose instance such directions have been issued, whether it is from the concerned Minister or from the Chief Minister? The Minister who is responsible for such things should be hauled up for violation of law. You must also clarify whether instructions have been issued at the instance of the Chief Minister or the concerned Minister. Further if that action is not according to the Section. 15 of the Act what is that you are going to do?

SRI B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY.—First of all, we have to examine whether conditions laid down under Section 15 have been followed or not. That is the first question. Secondly, without going into that matter I cannot give any answer for that hypothetical question, which will not help you or anybody. There are two actions contemplated. Firstly, about the action as to whether the procedure has been followed as stated by the hon'ble Member. If procedure is followed, no action need be taken. If the required procedure is not followed then, we have to see what action has to be taken in the matter. The second point is that the party can go to a court of law and get the order quashed.

SRI KAGODU THIMPPA.—Even to my knowledge, they have not communicated any order to the concerned party. You have issued only the instructions to the Superintendent of Police and others. That is the first violation you have committed. You have committed a blunder.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ಸದನದ ಮುಂದೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದರೆ ಹೊಸದೇ ಅವರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕ ಕ್ರಮ ಏನು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ನುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. It is purely hypothetical question. Unless you know what you say is correct, I cannot answer that question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಕಾಗೇಗೌಡ ಅವರು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ ನವರು ಬರಹ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್‌ವೇಲೆ ತಡೆ ಹಿಡಿದಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾರು ಕಾರಣತರ್ಹರು ಇದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಬರಹ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಏನಾದರೂ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ನಡೆದಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಗ್ಗೂಡ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕಾಗೇಗೌಡ ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಟನ್ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಡಿ ಸಾರ್ವೋ ಅಥವಾ ಬರಹ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮೋಗಮ್ ಅಗಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. He must also clarify the point. The Government issued instructions to the District Magistrate, South Kanara and the Superintendent of Police etc., whether these instructions are written instructions or oral? It is silent here. And also you please tell us the date of such instructions issued.

Sri B. SUBBAYYA SETTY.—Instructions are always written. There is no oral instruction.

Sri H.D. DEVEGOWDA.—When was the Government order issued? Please read out all the papers.

Sri B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY.—In the present Call Attention Notice, I don't know whether I have to read out all the papers at this stage.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Madam speaker, this raises a very important point.

In the written reply the Hon. Minister referred to the instructions issued. According to Sec. 15 of the Act. Government will have to pass orders after hearing the party. Another point is that the Minister has got the doubt as to whether the provision of Sec. 15 has been followed or not. He should be in a position to state whether provision of Sec. 15 has been followed or not. In the light of the things, I think the answers the Hon'ble Minister is giving are incomplete. Therefore I may respectfully submit to the Hon. Minister through you Madam, that the entire question may be held over so that the Minister may come prepared with all the details.

Sri B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY.—There is no question of getting information. Instructions have been issued. Further I said that I could get it examined.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The very fact that instructions have been issued whether it is oral or written clearly shows that the Government have passed orders suspending the exhibition of this film in South Kanara District.

The Hon'ble Minister says that the party is entitled to go to Court. This is not the way of answering on the floor of the House. I very respectfully plead through you Madam Speaker, Hon'ble Minister is highly impertinent. We, the citizens have right to go to Court, but the Government must take all the steps to prevent any opportunity and not give any scope to the citizens to go to Court and challenge this Order. They should exhibit their carefulness.

Sri B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY.—I entirely agree with the hon'ble Member that the Government have to exhibit relevant material before the Chair in deciding the questions. But what I said I think the Hon'ble Member Sri Puttaswamy has mis understood me completely. It is not that I am advising anybody to go to Court or not. When the Members mentioned about certain things and when he questioned about whether the rule mentioned Section 15 has been followed or not. I said that the Government is definitely going to examine that

question. For that he said that it has not been followed. In that case, it is a question to see whether it has been followed or not to take further step.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ. —ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲೇಬೇಕು ನೀವು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ರತಕ್ಕಂಥಾದ್ದು ಒರಲ್ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ನೋ, ಅಥವಾ ರಿಟರ್ನ್ ಇನ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ ಅಥವಾ ಋವ ರೀತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ತಿಳಿಸಿ, When you are making a statement the Hon'ble Minister must come with all the connected files.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಣ್ಣದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ. — ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತಹ ತಾರೀಖು, ವೇಳೆ, ದಿನ ಹೇಳಿರಿ.

Sri K. PURTASWAMY. —Consequent on the passing of Government Order, instructions would be issued to the officials. He refers only to the instructions that means no valid order has been passed apart from following the provisions of this Act. I want to know from the Hon. Minister straight away, whether a Government order has been passed and if so, on what date and what are the contents of the Order.

Sri B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY. —All the relevant papers are not with me at present. There is nothing to hide in it.

MADAM SPEAKER —Then the Hon'ble Minister may make a statement after two days

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ. —ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು. —ಬಹುಶಃ ಅವರು ಅದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ತಂದಿಲ್ಲಾ ಅಂಥ ಕಾಣುತ್ತೆ ಅದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ತರಿಸಿ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY. —I have agreed with the hon. Member as I said and I am bound by the Ruling of the Hon'ble Speaker.

Sri H.M. CHANNABASAPPA. —When the Hon'ble Speaker has given a Ruling that Hon. Minister will make a statement of facts sometime in the course of couple of days. It is too much to demand.

Sri KAGODU THIMMAPPA —The Hon Minister may advice the concerned Ministers and the Department concerned

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು. —ನಾನು ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಕೇಳಬೇಡಿ.

(ii) *re. Scarcity of drinking water in Athani town*

Sri A. A. DESAI. — I Call the attention of the Hon. Minister for Municipal Administration regarding scarcity of drinking water in Athani Town.

Sri D.K. NAIKAR (Minister for Municipal Administration and Political Pensions). — I beg to State as follows.

The Scheme for providing water supply to Athani town was administratively approved under Government Order No. LLH 139 TWD 57 dated 19-7-1959 for Rs. 13,42,135—under 'Q' Loans and